

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/711,981	CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Oanh Duong	2155	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Oanh Duong.

(3) _____.

 (2) Rudolf O. Siegesmund (Registration No. 37,720).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 April 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggested applicant to amend claims 1-3 as shown in Examiner's amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)